



St Edmundsbury
BOROUGH COUNCIL
DECISIONS NOTICE

The following decisions were taken in the week ending 5 December 2008 and, if not called in by Councillors, will come into operation on Monday 15 December 2008. A decision may be called in, in accordance with the Rules of Procedure contained within Part 4 of the Constitution, by Councillors submitting a request in writing to Democratic Services (e-mail: democratic.services@stedsbcc.gov.uk) by 5 pm. on Friday 12 December 2008.

A. DECISIONS TAKEN BY CABINET ON 3 DECEMBER 2008

Item No.	Subject (Forward Plan Ref)	Report No.	Decision	Financial Implications	Reason(s) for Decision	Other Option(s) Considered	Contact(s)
5	Review of Homes Assistance Policy (Dec08/08)	Z379	Subject to the approval of full Council:- (1) the Homes Assistance Policy 2003 be amended as detailed in Appendix 1 to Report Z362 so as to offer the following assistance:- (a) Thermal Comfort Grant: a grant to fund the cost of energy efficiency measures in the homes of owner-occupiers on low incomes but who do not qualify for assistance under the Warm Front scheme; (b) Warm Front Top-Up Grant: a grant to fund the additional cost of energy; efficiency measures carried out under the Warm Front scheme where the costs of the measures exceed Warm Front's contribution; (c) Healthy Homes Grant: the new grant to remain largely the same as the existing grant but without the energy efficiency element, and subject to the amendment referred to in (2) below; (d) Discretionary Disabled Facilities Grant (Top-Up): the grant to be reinstated; (e) Discretionary Disabled Facilities Grant	Funding requirements for the provision of the grants under the revised Policy will result in a capital growth bid which will need to be considered as part of the budget setting process and which will be finalised and approved by full Council on 24 February 2009.	Amongst other amendments, the Review enabled two new grants to be added, targeted at low income households relating to fuel poverty. Three grants were removed from the Policy as they were no longer applicable.	Options were considered in detail by the Policy Development Committee.	Sara Mildmay-White (01359-270580) Richard Whitehead (01284-757037)

Item No.	Subject (Forward Plan Ref)	Report No.	Decision	Financial Implications	Reason(s) for Decision	Other Option(s) Considered	Contact(s)
			<p>(Relocation): the grant to be reinstated;</p> <p>(f) Decent Homes Grant: the grant to remain unchanged, and subject to the amendment in (2) below; and</p> <p>(g) Mandatory Disabled Facilities Grant: other than the introduction of a charge on the property the grant to remain unchanged.</p> <p>(2) references in the Healthy Homes Grant and the Decent Homes Grant to qualifying benefits, be amended:-</p> <p>(i) after Child Tax Credit the words 'with an income of less than £15,460' be inserted;</p> <p>(ii) after Working Tax Credit the words 'with an income of less than £15,460 and which must include a disability element be inserted; and</p> <p>(iii) the second paragraph be deleted in each case.</p> <p>(3) the Discretionary Renovation Loan (owner occupiers), Discretionary Renovation Grant (Landlords) and the Private Sector Leasing Grant be removed from the existing Homes Assistance Policy;</p> <p>(4) other than the Mandatory Disabled Facilities Grant, eligible works for the above grants be determined by the Head of Environmental Health and Housing Services; and</p> <p>(5) Disabled Facilities Grants in respect of owner/occupier applications that exceed £5,000 be subject to a charge on the property for 10 years from the 'certified date' of the grant. The Council will demand the repayment of the grant subject to the conditions specified in the Homes Assistance Policy.</p>				
6	Housing Strategy, Homelessness Strategy and Sub-Regional Housing	Z380	<p>Subject to the approval of full Council:-</p> <p>(1) the Housing and Homelessness Strategies, as detailed in Reports Z201 and Z202 respectively and subject to minor textual amendments, their associated Action Plans, as set out in Appendices</p>	There are no direct financial implications in revising the Housing and	The previous Housing and Homelessness Strategies were approved in 2004	Following wide ranging consultation on the draft Housing and	Sara Mildmay-White (01359-270580)

Item No.	Subject (Forward Plan Ref)	Report No.	Decision	Financial Implications	Reason(s) for Decision	Other Option(s) Considered	Contact(s)
	Strategy (Dec 08/03 and Dec 08/04)		A and B respectively to Report Z365, be approved; and (2) the Cambridge Sub-Regional Housing Strategy be adopted.	Homelessness Strategies. There are no direct financial implications in adopting the Cambridge Sub-Regional Housing Strategy.	and 2003 respectively and now required updating. The Cambridge Sub-Regional Housing Strategy had been developed in partnership with all seven local authorities in the sub-region and the adoption of this Strategy would enable the Council to benefit from joint actions, resources and research.	Homelessness Strategies, options were considered in detail by the Policy Development Committee. Options were considered in detail by the Policy Development Committee.	Carole Herries (01284-757603)
7	Customer Service Strategy (Dec 08/13)	Z381	The Customer Service Strategy 2008, and actions to be taken forward in the Strategy, as set out in Report Z363, be approved.	There are no financial implications in adopting a Customer Service Strategy.	A Customer Service Strategy provides a framework through which the Council may encapsulate its vision of customer service throughout the organisation and allows the Council to set standards on how it delivers customer service.	Options were considered in detail by the Policy Development Committee.	David Ray (01359-250912) Liz Curtis-Woods (01284-757681)

Item No.	Subject (Forward Plan Ref)	Report No.	Decision	Financial Implications	Reason(s) for Decision	Other Option(s) Considered	Contact(s)
8	Report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee: Update on Activities for Young People	Z382	<p>(1) subject to the identification of funding, consideration be given to piloting activities similar to the rural youth project 'Wos Up' to areas in Bury St Edmunds and Haverhill; and</p> <p>(2) Suffolk County Council be asked to consider the feasibility of extending or amending the opening hours of its Information and Support Centre for Young People, 'No. 46' to better meet the needs of the young people using this facility.</p>	<p>The financial implications to pilot activities similar to the rural youth project 'Wos Up' to areas in Bury St Edmunds and Haverhill will met from existing budgets or funded by partner organisations.</p> <p>There are no financial implications for the Borough Council in asking Suffolk County Council to consider the feasibility of extending or amending the opening to 'No. 46'.</p>	<p>Following receipt of the feedback on the 'Wos Up' Project in rural areas, it was considered appropriate to extend similar activities to the urban areas.</p> <p>Following feedback that 'No. 46' had such restrictive opening hours and was usually closing just when young people wish to use the facility, it was considered appropriate to ask Suffolk County Council to extend or amend the opening hours for this facility.</p>	Options were considered in detail by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.	<p>Sara Mildmay-White (01359-270580)</p> <p>Simon Pickering (01284-757077)</p>
8	Review of the Citizens' Advice Bureaux in St Edmundsbury	Z382	<p>(1) the Borough Council explores potential areas for closer working between the Borough Council and the Citizens' Advice Bureaux, including potential areas for scrutiny which may arise out of their workloads;</p> <p>(2)the Borough Council works closely with the Bureaux to provide information to the Suffolk County Council's Trading Standards Officer for inclusion in his planned report to the County</p>	There are no financial implications in closer working with the Bureaux or Suffolk County Council.	Due to the planned re-distribution of Suffolk County Council's Grant to CABs across Suffolk, it was considered appropriate for discussions to take	Options were considered in detail by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.	<p>Sara Mildmay-White (01359-270580)</p> <p>Carole Herries (01284-757603)</p>

Item No.	Subject (Forward Plan Ref)	Report No.	Decision	Financial Implications	Reason(s) for Decision	Other Option(s) Considered	Contact(s)
			Public Protection Scrutiny Committee, outlining the interim effects of the funding changes on the St Edmundsbury Bureaux; and (3) it be suggested to Suffolk County Council that it may also wish to consider the overall levels of grant provided to Citizens' Advice Bureaux, bearing in mind the potential cost of providing the essential services currently provided by the Bureaux.		place between Suffolk County Council and the St Edmundsbury Bureaux.		
8	Review of the Transfer of Leisure Management to Abbeycroft Leisure Trust	Z382	The Borough Council explores with Abbeycroft Leisure the possibility of making the external appearance of Bury St Edmunds Leisure Centre more attractive, by low cost means such as improved signage, in order to enhance the public perception of the Centre.	Any improvements to the external appearance of Bury St Edmunds Leisure Centre will be undertaken by Abbeycroft Leisure.	It was acknowledged that the take-up of leisure services was not helped by the perceived uninviting external appearance of the Bury St Edmunds Leisure Centre, and was therefore, considered appropriate to discuss with Abbeycroft Leisure the possibility of low cost works being undertaken to improve the external appearance.	Options were considered in detail by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.	Lynsey Alexander (01284-765054) Mark Ereira-Guyer (01284-703526) Neil Anthony (01284-757064)
9	Report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee: Review of the Bury St Edmunds Festival (Dec08/09)	Z383	(1) the Action Plan for development of the Bury St Edmunds Festival, as outlined in Report Z373, be approved; (2) given the importance of the Bury St Edmunds Festival to the town, and its major positive impact on the local economy, consideration be given to increasing the budget for the Festival, in order to potentially increase the range of activities offered;	Consideration is to be given to increase the budget for the Bury St Edmunds Festival as part of the budget setting process which will	There is currently an annual budgeted deficit and an increase in budget will address this and could potentially increase the range of	Options were considered in detail by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.	Lynsey Alexander (01284-765054) Neil Anthony (01284-757064)

Item No.	Subject (Forward Plan Ref)	Report No.	Decision	Financial Implications	Reason(s) for Decision	Other Option(s) Considered	Contact(s)
			<p>(3) when looking into the Business Plan for the public building (Venue), the Venue Steering Group be requested to consider that the public building (Venue) potentially becomes a key part of the Festival from 2010 onwards;</p> <p>(4) when the Business Plan for the public building (Venue) is finalised, the Council takes the opportunity to review its budget for other arts related activity;</p> <p>(5) the Council explores the potential to extend the range of cultural inputs to the Festival to include, for example but not exclusively, drama, literary events, choirs, poetry etc, perhaps scheduled at alternative times across the year; and</p> <p>(6) approaches be made to Bury St Edmunds' Art Gallery and Theatre Royal, and other appropriate venues regarding their involvement in the Festival, with the intention to potentially develop their input into the Festival, including holding special events at these venues for the duration of the Festival.</p>	be finalised and approved by full Council on 24 February 2009.	activities offered.		
11	Grant Panel: 18 November 2008 (Dec 08/07)	Z385	<p>(a) Review of the Grants Policy Subject to the approval of full Council, the Borough Council's Grants Policy be amended to reflect the view of the Grant Panel that funding for projects should include a local contribution, with particular reference to:-</p> <p>(1) applicants be advised that funding for all projects should include an element of local funding which could arise from fundraising activities, local donations, the Parish Council or other local organisations; and</p> <p>(2) the Grant Scoring Matrix be amended to reflect that higher levels of local funding receive a higher priority. Local contributions should ideally amount to between 10 and 20% of the total project cost.</p>	There are no financial implications in amending the Borough Council's Grants Policy.	The Borough Council's Grants Policy should be amended to reflect the view of the Grant Panel that funding for projects should include a local contribution.	A number of options were considered by the Grant Panel.	<p>Paul Farmer (01284-768777)</p> <p>Sara Mildmay-White (01359-270580)</p> <p>Mike Dawson (01284-757060)</p>

Item No.	Subject (Forward Plan Ref)	Report No.	Decision	Financial Implications	Reason(s) for Decision	Other Option(s) Considered	Contact(s)
			<p>St Edmund and Waveny YMCA 5,000</p> <p>Relate Norfolk and Suffolk 5,000</p> <p>Bury St Edmunds Art Gallery Trust 36,300</p> <p>Optua Advice and Advocacy 1,000</p> <p>Haverhill and District Local History Group 3,850</p> <p>Millennium Farm Trust 1,000</p> <p>(d) Anglia in Bloom Groups: Grants 2009/2010</p> <p>That, subject to the budget setting process, the following grants be awarded for 2009/2010:-</p> <p>(1) Clare in Bloom £3,750; and</p> <p>(2) Cavendish in Colour £750.</p>	As detailed in the decisions but subject to the budget setting process.			
12	Capital Programme (Dec 08/14)	Z386	<p>(1) Scheme B of the Nowton Park Visitor Centre project, incorporating changing rooms at a maximum budget of £525,000 be approved, as detailed in Appendix 2 to Report Z386.</p> <p>(2) subject to the approval of full Council, funding for the projects detailed in paragraphs 4.2.2 to 4.2.8 of Report Z386, be approved, including the preferred option from Appendix 2 as detailed in (1) above, and the Council's Capital Programme be amended accordingly; and</p> <p>(3) following approval of capital funding for the Bury St Edmunds Community Football Project, as detailed in paragraph 4.2.8 of Report Z386, the Corporate Director for Community be authorised, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Culture and Sport, to enter into an agreement with Bury Town Football Club to develop the project on a grant funded basis, subject to:-</p> <p>(i) final approval of the Scheme being sought from the Cabinet;</p> <p>(ii) the granting of planning permission for the Scheme; and</p> <p>(iii) third party matched funding being secured.</p>	£2,850,000 of Capital funding is being recommended to Council.	The Capital Programme is constantly being reviewed and updated, and there are always a number of 'pipeline' projects which are in the early stages of development, and have not yet had funding allocated to them. However, a small number of these 'pipeline' projects are thought to be important enough to bring them forward to the 2008/2009 and 2009/2010 Capital Programme.	The planned projects could be left unfunded at the current time, for separate consideration at a later date; however this would hinder the development of the schemes.	<p>Nigel Aitkens (01284-735258)</p> <p>Lynsey Alexander (01284-765054)</p> <p>Paul Farmer (01284-768777)</p> <p>Mike Dawson (01284-757060)</p> <p>Liz Watts (01284-757252)</p>

Item No.	Subject (Forward Plan Ref)	Report No.	Decision	Financial Implications	Reason(s) for Decision	Other Option(s) Considered	Contact(s)
15	Sustainable Development Panel: 2 December 2008 (Dec 08/06)	Z387	<p>St Edmundsbury Local Development Framework: Annual Monitoring Report 2007/2008</p> <p>(i) the St Edmundsbury Annual Monitoring Report 2007/2008, as detailed in Report Z398, be approved;</p> <p>(ii) the Head of Planning and Engineering Services, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Transport and Planning, be authorised to incorporate into the Annual Monitoring Report for publication any further minor changes as are necessary to update and make any spelling, grammatical, factual, formatting and numbering corrections, provided they do not materially affect the substance or meaning of the Report.</p> <p>St Edmundsbury Replacement Local Plan 2016. Policy RA2(b) Strategic Greenfield Site – Ixworth: Draft Concept Statement</p> <p>Subject to the approval of full Council, the Concept Statement for Ixworth, attached as Appendix B to Report Z399, be adopted as non-statutory planning guidance.</p> <p>East of England Regional Spatial Strategy Review Consultation</p> <p>In terms of assessing the level of additional growth that might be accommodated in the Borough, the following response be made to Suffolk County Council:-</p> <p>(i) that, without prejudice, the Borough Council</p>	<p>The costs of producing this annual report is funded from existing resources.</p> <p>The costs of producing this Concept Statement is funded from existing resources.</p> <p>The cost of responding to this consultation is funded from existing resources.</p>	<p>The Annual Monitoring Report has an important role to monitor progress on the implementation of the Local Development Scheme.</p> <p>Having taken into account the outcome of the consultation, the adoption of the Strategic Greenfield Site: Ixworth Concept Statement as planning guidance will enable the preparation of a Masterplan for the site.</p> <p>The Borough Council considers there will be a significant impact regarding housing growth beyond those already</p>	<p>Options were considered in detail by the Sustainable Development Panel.</p> <p>Options were considered in detail by the Sustainable Development Panel.</p> <p>Options were considered in detail by the Sustainable Development Panel.</p>	<p>Terry Clements (01284-827161)</p> <p>Patsy Dell (01284-757306)</p> <p>Chris Rand (01284-757352)</p> <p>Ian Poole (01284-757350)</p>

Item No.	Subject (Forward Plan Ref)	Report No.	Decision	Financial Implications	Reason(s) for Decision	Other Option(s) Considered	Contact(s)
			<p>supports the low growth option, which it is currently planning for in the Local Development Framework and which would provide a further 10,750 new homes between 2011 and 2031, subject to both infrastructure and environmental capacity to accommodate this growth;</p> <p>(ii) that the Borough Council is of the opinion that Bury St Edmunds cannot accommodate any increase in the levels of growth over and above that already being planned for in its Local Development Framework;</p> <p>(iii) that, subject to infrastructure and environment capacity in the wider area being addressed, there may be a role for Haverhill to accommodate further significant development. However, any further growth in housing over and above that being planned in the Local Development Framework will not be accepted until at least 2021 and only when a better homes / jobs balance has been achieved and when the capacity exists in all appropriate and sustainable infrastructure to accommodate the planned additional growth;</p> <p>(iv) that a special policy area is designated in the "mid-Anglia" part of the region to address where applicable rural deprivation and needs in this area; and</p> <p>(v) no comment is submitted at this time on the appropriateness or deliverability of the proposal for a development of 4,000 new homes to the north-east of Bury St Edmunds.</p> <p>Braintree Local Development Framework: Core Strategy Consultation</p> <p>(i) Braintree District Council be thanked for consulting the Borough Council on the documents; and</p> <p>(ii) the comments (5) to (8) in paragraph 2.2 of</p>	<p>The cost of responding to this consultation is funded from existing resources.</p>	<p>planned for in its Local Development Framework, therefore a response is required.</p> <p>The Borough Council considers there will be an impact therefore a response is required.</p>	<p>Options were considered in detail by the Sustainable Development Panel.</p>	<p>Ian Poole (01284-757350)</p>

Item No.	Subject (Forward Plan Ref)	Report No.	Decision	Financial Implications	Reason(s) for Decision	Other Option(s) Considered	Contact(s)
			<p>Report Z401 form the basis of the Borough Council's comments to Braintree District Council.</p> <p>Flood Risk and Water Cycle Studies The Braintree District, Haverhill and Clare Water Cycle Study be approved.</p>	There are no direct financial implications for the Borough Council.	Approval of the flood risk and water cycle studies should help to underpin the preparation of the Local Development Framework.	Options were considered in detail by the Sustainable Development Panel.	Ian Poole (01284-757350)
16	Abbeycroft Leisure: Management Fee 2009/2015 (Dec 08/15)	Z388	<p>Subject to the approval of full Council as part of the budget setting process:-</p> <p>(a) a Management Fee totalling £730,000 be paid to Abbeycroft Leisure for the operation of the Council's leisure facilities in 2009/2010 in accordance with the provisions of the Leisure Transfer Agreement, consisting of:-</p> <p>(i) £480,000 to support normal trading costs, to be met from the revenue budget 2009/2010; and</p> <p>(ii) a one-off payment of £250,000 to meet additional costs arising from the closure of Haverhill Leisure Centre, to be met from revenue reserves; and</p> <p>(b)(i) subject to the outcome of a review of the cost of the closure of Haverhill Leisure Centre to be undertaken in September 2009 and any further required adjustments to the Management Fee for 2010/2011, the Corporate Director for Community, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Culture and Sport, be authorised to enter into a five year Management Fee Funding Agreement with Abbeycroft Leisure, as detailed in paragraph 4.3.2 of Report Z388; and</p> <p>(ii) the terms of the five year funding agreement be subjected to annual review.</p>	A Management Fee of £480,000 will be met from the budget for 2009/2010. The implementation of a five year funding agreement for the Management Fee will achieve annual savings of between £24,000 and £19,548 to be delivered over the period of the agreement. The non-recurring allocation of £250,000 to support the costs of the closure and reopening of Haverhill Leisure Centre in 2009/2010 will be	The Management Fee for 2009/2010 will support the operation of the Council's leisure facilities and the provision of a five year funding agreement will deliver Dynamic Review – Innovation, Value and Enterprise (DR-IVE) savings whilst maintaining leisure services for the community.	Failure to agree an appropriate Management Fee will create business difficulties for Abbeycroft Leisure which will result in reduced services and opportunities for sports activities for the communities within St Edmundsbury. It is possible to continue to agree the Management Fee on an annual basis however; this will result in additional officer time and will not provide Abbeycroft with a	Lynsey Alexander (01284-765054) Neil Anthony (01284-757064)

Item No.	Subject (Forward Plan Ref)	Report No.	Decision	Financial Implications	Reason(s) for Decision	Other Option(s) Considered	Contact(s)
				met from revenue reserves.		secure funding position for the future.	
17	Report of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee: Proposed Inclusion of Special Area Policy in St Edmundsbury Borough Council Statement of Licensing Policy (Dec 08/10)	Z389	Subject to the approval of full Council, the Special Area Policy, as detailed in Appendix F of Report Z340, applying to that part of Bury St Edmunds as described in Appendix B of Report Z340, be adopted by the Council, and the existing statement of Licensing Policy be updated to include the Special Area Policy, noting that it will be reviewed in two years' time.	Costs arising from the consultation are met from existing budgets.	Where the Licensing Authority is satisfied that the number, type and density of premises authorised for licensable activities is causing a serious problem of nuisance and disorder, and there is evidence of a disproportionate detrimental effect on neighbouring businesses and residents it may consider the adoption of a special saturation policy.	The Licensing and Regulatory Committee considered options in detail which included taking no action; or setting up a licensing Forum for Bury St Edmunds (and subsequently Haverhill).	Sara Mildmay-White (01359-270580) Hilary Workman (01284-757113)
18	Bury St Edmunds Area Working Party: 25 November 2008 (Dec 08/05)	Z390	<p>(a) Environmental Enhancements: Central Walk, Bury St Edmunds; (1) The repaving of Central Walk, Bury St Edmunds, in Charcon Appalachian slabs be approved; (2) the lighting design detailed in paragraph 2.4 of Report Z366 be approved; and (3) the provision of an entrance feature to each end of Central Walk, as shown in Option 2 of Appendix A to Report Z366, be approved.</p> <p>(b) Environmental Enhancements: St Andrews Street/Risbygate Street/Brentgoval Street and surrounding</p>	<p>Costs to implement the Environmental Enhancements in Central Walk, Bury St Edmunds will be met from existing budgets.</p> <p>Costs for the production and consultation on</p>	<p>Central Walk is a key link between the existing and extended Town Centre and the enhancements will improve its appearance.</p> <p>On 14 October 2008 the Working Party had</p>	<p>Options were considered in detail by the Bury St Edmunds Area Working Party.</p> <p>Options were considered in detail by the Bury</p>	<p>Sara Mildmay-White (01359-270580)</p> <p>Stephen Boor (01284-757323)</p> <p>Sara Mildmay-White (01359-270580)</p>

Item No.	Subject (Forward Plan Ref)	Report No.	Decision	Financial Implications	Reason(s) for Decision	Other Option(s) Considered	Contact(s)
			<p>area Bury St Edmunds; (1) Detailed proposals be approved by the Head of Planning and Engineering, in consultation with Chairman of the Bury St Edmunds Area Working Party and the Portfolio Holder for Transport and Planning prior to local consultation and based on the summary outcomes paper emanating from the workshop held on 25 November 2008; (2) the outcome of the consultation be reported to a future meeting of the Working Party; and (3) additional funding options to that already allocated be explored.</p>	<p>this document will be met from existing budgets, however additional funding options to that already allocated will be explored.</p>	<p>expressed concern about the approach proposed to changes of the junction of St Andrews Street/Risbygate Street/Brentgrovel Street. The workshop held to establish the principles and extent of any changes enabled further detailed proposals to be subject to local consultation.</p>	<p>St Edmunds Area Working Party.</p>	<p>Stephen Boor (01284-757323)</p>
			<p>(c) Engineering Services Work Programme; (1) Beetons Way, Bury St Edmunds: Cycle Facility The proposals for Beetons Way, Bury St Edmunds for local consultation, as detailed in paragraph 2.3.5 and Appendix B of Report Z367, be approved; and</p>	<p>Cost for these works will be met from existing budgets.</p>	<p>Associated with the opening of West Suffolk House, improved cycle links are required, particularly to Beetons Way.</p>	<p>Options were considered in detail by the Bury St Edmunds Area Working Party.</p>	<p>Sara Mildmay-White (01359-270580) Stephen Boor (01284-757323)</p>
			<p>(2) Cattle Market Car Park, Bury St Edmunds: Landscaping The arrangements for additional planting in the Cattle Market, Bury St Edmunds car park, as detailed in paragraph 3.1 of Report Z367, be approved.</p>	<p>Amending the approved landscaping scheme for the Cattle Market, Bury St Edmunds car park to incorporate additional planting</p>	<p>Concern had been expressed that the approved landscaping for this car park needed to be improved and therefore, the design of the landscaping</p>	<p>Options were considered in detail by the Bury St Edmunds Area Working Party.</p>	<p>Sara Mildmay-White (01359-270580) Stephen Boor (01284-757323)</p>

Item No.	Subject (Forward Plan Ref)	Report No.	Decision	Financial Implications	Reason(s) for Decision	Other Option(s) Considered	Contact(s)
			<p>(d) Residents Parking Schemes; (1) The name change from Residents' Parking Scheme to Neighbourhood Parking Scheme be approved; and (2) the forward programme of investigations set out at Appendix D to Report Z368 be approved.</p> <p>(e) Bury St Edmunds Victoria Street: Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan; (1) The draft Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan for the Bury St Edmunds Victoria Street Conservation Area, as detailed in Appendix 1 to Report Z369, be approved for consultation; and (2) the Head of Planning and Engineering, in consultation with the Chairman of the Bury St</p>	<p>will be met from the existing budget.</p> <p>There are no direct financial implications in changing the name of Residents' Parking Scheme to Neighbourhood Parking Scheme. Carrying out the investigations contained in the Forward Programme of Residents' Parking Schemes will be met from existing resources.</p> <p>The compilation of and consultation on the Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan for Bury St Edmunds Victoria Street Conservation Area</p>	<p>scheme to include arrangements for additional planting in the Cattle Market, Bury St Edmunds car park was considered appropriate.</p> <p>Changing the name from Residents' Parking Scheme to Neighbourhood Parking Scheme indicated that the management of the parking is for the benefit of all the community in the location, including businesses.</p> <p>The Management Plan set out a mid to long term strategy to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the Conservation Area and consultation is</p>	<p>Options were considered in detail by the Bury St Edmunds Area Working Party.</p> <p>Options were considered in detail by the Bury St Edmunds Area Working Party.</p>	<p>Sara Mildmay-White (01359-270580)</p> <p>Stephen Boor (01284-757323)</p> <p>Sara Mildmay-White (01359-270580)</p> <p>Christine Leveson (01284-757356)</p>

Item No.	Subject (Forward Plan Ref)	Report No.	Decision	Financial Implications	Reason(s) for Decision	Other Option(s) Considered	Contact(s)
			Edmunds Area Working Party, be authorised to amend any typing, grammatical or minor factual changes required to the Draft Appraisal and Management Plan prior to commencement of the consultation.	will be undertaken within existing resources.	a key part to progress the Appraisal and Management Plan.		
19	Asset Management Plan: Haverhill Industrial Properties: Assessment and Options Appraisal (Dec 08/01)	Z391	The proposals identified in Exempt Appendix 3 to Report Z391, which relate to individual assets, be approved. These proposals include retain, and work more closely with partners to improve the service value of the assets, sell when opportunities arise and consider further as part of service reviews or in connection with other developments.	Any financial implications in respect of these properties will be within existing budgets.	Detailed assessment was undertaken on whether to retain or dispose of particular industrial properties in the Haverhill.	Options were considered for each asset.	Nigel Aitkens (01284-735258) Betty Albon (01284-757307)
22	Havebury Housing Partnership: Changes to Transfer Agreement: Update (Dec 08/11)	Exempt Report Z392	On 17 September 2008 the Cabinet considered the repair and improvement to Havebury Housing Partnerships non-traditionally built properties and it was agreed that consultation be undertaken with Havebury's tenants on the basis of carrying out category 3 repairs under the Non-traditional Homes Appraisal Scheme.	There are no financial implications to the Borough Council in Havebury Housing Partnership undertaking consultation with its tenants.	The level of refurbishment of the properties in question was agreed at the time the Borough Council's housing stock was transferred to the Havebury Housing Partnership and a 'promise' made to the tenants. Therefore, in order to progress the situation, it was agreed that Havebury should continue to consult with its tenants.	Other options were considered by the Cabinet on 17 September 2008.	Sara Mildmay-White (01359-270580) Carole Herries (01284-757603)

Joy Bowes,
Head of Legal and Democratic Services
5 December 2008