



*St Edmundsbury*  
BOROUGH COUNCIL

**DECISIONS NOTICE**

The following decisions were taken in the week ending 25 May 2007 and, if not called in by Councillors, will come into operation on Tuesday 5 June 2007. A decision may be called in, in accordance with the Rules of Procedure contained within Part 4 of the Constitution, by Councillors submitting a request in writing to Democratic Services (e-mail: democratic.services@stedsb.gov.uk) by 5 p.m. on Monday 4 June 2007.

**A. DECISIONS TAKEN BY CABINET ON 23 MAY 2007**

| <b>Item No.</b> | <b>Subject (Forward Plan Ref)</b>   | <b>Report No.</b> | <b>Decision</b>   | <b>Financial Implications</b>  | <b>Reason(s) for Decision</b>   | <b>Other Option(s) Considered</b>  | <b>Contact(s)</b>  |
|-----------------|---|-------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| 5               | Report of the Policy Development Committee: Sustainability – Update on Progress to Incorporate Sustainability into Council Decision-Making (Jun07/05) | Y6                | (1) Consultation be carried out on the revised Environmental (now termed “Sustainability”) Policy with Council stakeholders identified during the Vision 2025 process; and<br>(2) following consultation, the Sustainability Policy be submitted to full Council for adoption, with prior consideration of the amended policy by the Policy Development Committee if significant amendment is required. | Undertaking the development of a Sustainability Policy and the consultation will be within existing budgets. | In November 2005, full Council approved recommendations to integrate sustainability into the Council decision-making process. One of the original recommendations adopted was that the Council's Environmental Policy should be updated to produce a Sustainability Policy. | No further options were considered to incorporate sustainability into the Council's decision-making process. The actual policy is subject to consultation. | Peter Stevens<br>(01787-280284)<br><br>Adriana Stapleton<br>(01284-757613) |
| 6               | Report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee:  | Y7                | (1) The St Edmundsbury Countryside Forum Terms of Reference, as set out in Appendix A to Report   | There are no direct financial implications arising from  | The review of the Terms of Reference had been suggested by the Countryside Forum and  | Options had already been considered by the Countryside Forum and the Overview and Scrutiny   | Adriana Stapleton<br>(01284-757613)  |

| Item No. | Subject (Forward Plan Ref)  | Report No. | Decision  | Financial Implications  | Reason(s) for Decision  | Other Option(s) Considered   | Contact(s)  |
|----------|---|------------|---|---|---|--|---|
|          | Review of the Countryside Management Forum Terms of Reference and Countryside Management, Theme C of the Rural Action Plan (Jun07/15) |            | X637, as amended, be approved; and (2) amendments to the Countryside Management Theme C, of the Rural Action Plan for 2007/2008, as set out in Appendix B to Report X637, be approved.  | amending the Terms of Reference and the Rural Action Plan.  | considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. With regard to the Rural Action Plan it had already been considered appropriate that this be reviewed by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.  | Committee.   |   |
| 8        | Recommendations from the Sustainable Development Panel: 26 April 2007 (Jun07/07)  | Y9         | <p><b>(1) Replacement Borough Local Plan: Masterplan for the redevelopment of Risby Business Park (Report X652)</b></p> <p>That subject to approval by Full Council, the Masterplan for the redevelopment of the Risby Business Park, forming Appendix A Report X652, be adopted as planning guidance for the determination of future planning applications on the land.</p> <p><b>(2) Breckland Local Development Framework: Strategy and Core Policies DPD Development Choices Consultation (Report</b></p> | <p>The production of the Masterplan was within existing resources.</p> <p>Responding to this consultation document was undertaken from within existing resources.</p> | <p>In May 2001, a major fire severely damaged the principle building on the Risby Business Park. As a consequence the site has not been in use since this time. Prospective developers have been in consultation over a number of years to seek to agree an appropriate redevelopment scheme for this brownfield site. More recently prospective developers have promoted the preparation of a Masterplan for the site, despite it not being specifically allocated for redevelopment in the local plan.</p> <p>Breckland District Council has published, for consultation, the Development Choices document for the Strategy and Core Policies</p> | <p>The Masterplan has been subject to consultation and amended accordingly.</p> <p>Options were considered in detail by the Sustainable Development Panel.</p> | <p>Terry Clements (01284-827161)</p> <p>Sandra Pell (01284-757302)</p> <p>Terry Clements (01284-827161)</p> <p>Sandra Pell (01284-757302)</p> |

| Item No. | Subject (Forward Plan Ref) | Report No. | Decision  | Financial Implications  | Reason(s) for Decision  | Other Option(s) Considered  | Contact(s)  |
|----------|----------------------------|------------|---|---|---|---|---|
|          |                            |            | <p><b>X655)</b><br/> (1) Breckland District Council be thanked for consulting the Borough Council on its Development Choices Consultation Document; and<br/> (2) the suggested responses contained in section 3 of Report X655 be submitted to Breckland District Council.</p> <p><b>(3) Braintree Local Development Framework: Issues and Options Consultation (Report X656)</b><br/> (1) Braintree District Council be thanked for consulting the Borough Council on its Issues and Options Document; and<br/> (2) the suggested responses contained in section 3 of Report X656 be submitted to Braintree District Council.</p> <p><b>(4) Suffolk County Council Minerals and Waste Development Framework: Minerals Specific Site Allocation Issues and Options – Version 2 April 2007</b></p> | <p>Responding to this consultation document was undertaken from within existing resources.</p> <p>Responding to this consultation document was undertaken from within existing resources.</p> | <p>Development Plan Document, as part of their Local Development Framework. The Borough Council, as a neighbouring authority, is a statutory consultee.</p> <p>Braintree District Council has published, for consultation, the Issues and Options document for the preparation of a Local Development Framework. The Borough Council, as a neighbouring authority, is a statutory consultee.</p> <p>Suffolk Country Council has published a second Issues and Options document for the allocation of minerals extraction sites, as part of their Minerals and Waste</p> | <p>Options were considered in detail by the Sustainable Development Panel.</p> <p>Options were considered in detail by the Sustainable Development Panel.</p> | <p>Terry Clements (01284-827161)</p> <p>Sandra Pell (01284-757302)</p> <p>Terry Clements (01284-827161)</p> <p>Sandra Pell (01284-757302)</p> |

| Item No. | Subject (Forward Plan Ref)  | Report No. | Decision  | Financial Implications  | Reason(s) for Decision   | Other Option(s) Considered  | Contact(s)   |
|----------|---|------------|---|---|--|---|--|
|          |   |            | <p><b>(Report X659)</b><br/> (1) Suffolk County Council be thanked for consulting the Borough Council on its Minerals Core Strategy Preferred Options; and<br/> (2) the suggested responses contained in paragraphs 3.3 and 4.3 of Report X659 and the additional comments be submitted as the Borough Council's formal response to the consultation.</p>                                     |   | Local Development Framework. The Borough Council is a statutory consultee and some of the sites identified in the consultation document are located in the Borough.  |   |  |
| 9        | Consultation on Proposed Ipswich Unitary Council: Borough Council Response (Jun07/08) | Y10        | (1) The Borough Council responds to the proposed Ipswich unitary consultation on the basis set out in the draft document attached as an appendix to Report Y10; and<br>(2) the Chief Executive, in consultation with the Leader, be authorised to approve the final response in the light of any comments received from local stakeholders prior to the submission deadline of 22 June, 2007. | Responding to this consultation document was undertaken from within existing resources. | In March 2007, the Government announced that the proposals from 16 councils for new unitary structures under the terms of the 2006 Local Government White Paper had been short-listed for stakeholder consultation. The Borough Council has been asked to participate in this consultation and this paper sets out a proposed response for consideration by the Cabinet. The deadline for responses is 22 June 2007. | Not to respond to the consultation was not considered an option.  | John Griffiths<br>(01284-757136)<br><br>Deborah Cadman<br>(01284-757001) |
| 10       | Report of the Overview & Scrutiny Committee: Council Comprehensive                    | Y11        | Subject to the amendments to committee names, the draft Council Improvement Plan for 2007/2008, as set out in Report X633, be approved.   | There are no direct financial implications in reviewing the Council's Comprehensive     | The Overview and Scrutiny Committee had been charged with reviewing the progress on the implementation of the Council's Improvement  | Not to continually review the Comprehensive Performance Assessment Improvement Plan was not considered an option. | David Ray<br>(01359-250912)<br><br>Adriana Stapleton<br>(01284-757613)   |

| Item No. | Subject (Forward Plan Ref)   | Report No. | Decision   | Financial Implications  | Reason(s) for Decision   | Other Option(s) Considered   | Contact(s)  |
|----------|--|------------|--|---|--|--|---|
|          | Performance Assessment Improvement Plan – Annual Progress Report (Jun07/02)  |            |  | Performance Assessment Improvement Plan.  | Plan for 2006/2007 which resulted in a new plan for 2007/2008.   |  |   |
| 11       | Report of the Policy Development Committee: Recommendations from the meetings of the Service Delivery Review Panel | Y12        | <p><b>(1) Meeting held on 13 December 2006</b></p> <p><b>(a) Customer Access and Service Delivery at the Public Service Village: Update Report (Report X394)</b><br/> (1) the Public Service Village building reception/public access area specification be finalised between St Edmundsbury Borough Council and Suffolk County Council by officers, based on the principles and current assumptions and descriptions outlined in Report X394; and<br/> (2) Suffolk Councils' potential pathfinder status under the White Paper be incorporated and considered as part of the work for the Public Service Village.</p> <p><b>(b) Progress with e-Government/e-Services (Report X395)</b><br/> (1) work on the e-Services Key Performance</p> | <p>Meeting the specification will be undertaken from within existing budgets.</p> <p>There are no additional financial implications arising from this</p> | <p>There was a need to finalise the building reception/public access area specification between St Edmundsbury and Suffolk Country Council prior to submission of the planning application.</p> <p>It was considered more appropriate for the operation of the Borough Council to collect more relevant information than</p> | <p>Detailed options were considered by the Service Delivery Review Panel.</p> <p>Options were considered in detail by the Service Delivery Review Panel.</p> | <p>David Ray (01359-250912)</p> <p>Adriana Stapleton (01284-757613)</p> <p>David Ray (01359-250912)</p> <p>Adriana Stapleton (01284-757613)</p> |

| Item No. | Subject (Forward Plan Ref) | Report No. | Decision   | Financial Implications  | Reason(s) for Decision   | Other Option(s) Considered   | Contact(s)  |
|----------|----------------------------|------------|--|---|--|--|---|
|          |                            |            | <p>Indicators which currently reflect the e-Government agenda be stopped in favour of more relevant information such as take-up statistics for e-service delivery channels; and</p> <p>(2) the emphasis be shifted to the Transformational Government (t-Government) agenda ensuring that future e-service developments are driven by the business change process, and that technology is used to add value to the delivery of services.</p> | <p>decision.</p>  | <p>the e-services key performance indicators.</p>  |  |   |
|          |                            |            | <p><b>(c) Review of Payment Methods (Report X396)</b></p> <p>(1) the Forward Workplan for the Payment Methods Review, as detailed in Report X396, be adopted.</p>  | <p>Undertaking a review of payment methods will be from within existing resources.</p>          | <p>There was a need to undertake a detailed review and therefore required the formulation of a work plan.</p>                                  | <p>Options were considered in detail by the Service Delivery Review Panel.</p> | <p>David Ray<br/>(01359-250912)</p> <p>Adriana Stapleton<br/>(01284-757613)</p> |
|          |                            |            | <p><b>(2) Meeting held on 5 February 2007</b></p> <p><b>(a) Suffolk Integration Group (SIG) (Report X484)</b></p> <p>(1) the Service Delivery Review Panel adopts responsibility within St</p>   | <p>There are no direct financial implications in the Service Delivery Review Panel adopting</p> | <p>Given the strong links between the Suffolk Integration Group, system review, Public Service Village and other work areas of the Service</p> | <p>Options were considered in detail by the Service Delivery Review Panel.</p> | <p>David Ray<br/>(01359-250912)</p> <p>Adriana Stapleton<br/>(01284-757613)</p> |

| Item No. | Subject (Forward Plan Ref)       | Report No. | Decision  | Financial Implications  | Reason(s) for Decision   | Other Option(s) Considered   | Contact(s)  |
|----------|----------------------------------|------------|---|---|--|--|---|
|          |                                  |            | <p>Edmundsbury Borough Council for the work of the Suffolk Integration Group and make associated recommendations to the Policy Development Committee for consideration.</p> <p><b>(3) Meeting held on 2 April 2007</b></p> <p><b>(a) The Future of Systems Review (Report X610)</b><br/> (1) the Cabinet notes, that, in accordance with its previous guidance to officers, the Service Delivery Review Panel be asked to note the steps needed to adapt the existing systems review process and adopt the use of activity based costing (ABC) techniques for the 2007/2008 work programme, and provide feedback to officers before the first pilots begin; and<br/> (2) the Cabinet notes that the Service Delivery Review Panel receive updates on the outcomes of the first pilots at future meetings.</p> | <p>responsibility for the work of the Suffolk Integration Group.</p> <p>There are no direct financial implications in adopting the activity base costing techniques for the review of existing systems.</p> | <p>Delivery Review Panel it was agreed that the Panel adopt responsibility for monitoring SIG actions and proposals and to make recommendations on these matters.</p> <p>Using activity based costing was considered to be appropriate for the review methodology.</p> | <p>Options were considered in detail by the Service Delivery Review Panel.</p> | <p>David Ray<br/>(01359-250912)</p> <p>Adriana Stapleton<br/>(01284-757613)</p> |
| 14       | Annual Review and Appointment of | Y15        | (1) the Cabinet reconstitutes its existing  | Reviewing the Cabinet's Working   | The Cabinet reviews annually its Working   | Not to review the Working Parties and Panels was not                           | John Griffiths<br>(01284-757136)  |

| Item No.   | Subject (Forward Plan Ref)         | Report No.    | Decision  | Financial Implications | Reason(s) for Decision | Other Option(s) Considered | Contact(s)                                 |   |   |  |    |   |  |   |   |                 |   |   |             |   |   |                              |   |   |             |   |   |   |  |                       |                               |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------|---|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|---|--|----|---|--|---|---|-----------------|---|---|-------------|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
|  | Cabinet Working Parties and Panels |               | <p>panels and working parties for 2007/2008 on the following basis, and that the Head of Legal and Democratic Services be authorised to appoint members to them in accordance with the political balance of the Council and the nominations received from group leaders and independent Councillors:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="631 663 958 1425"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="631 663 788 754">Working Party or Panel</th> <th data-bbox="788 663 864 754">Mem - bers</th> <th data-bbox="864 663 958 754">Substi- tutes</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="631 754 788 884">Bury St Edmunds Town Council Working Party</td> <td data-bbox="788 754 864 884">7</td> <td data-bbox="864 754 958 884">3</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="631 884 788 1106">Bury St Edmunds Town Centre and Cattle Market Working Party*</td> <td data-bbox="788 884 864 1106">13</td> <td data-bbox="864 884 958 1106">6</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="631 1106 788 1230">Central Safety Panel (Employers' Side)</td> <td data-bbox="788 1106 864 1230">5</td> <td data-bbox="864 1106 958 1230">2</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="631 1230 788 1294">Emergency Panel</td> <td data-bbox="788 1230 864 1294">7</td> <td data-bbox="864 1230 958 1294">3</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="631 1294 788 1331">Grant Panel</td> <td data-bbox="788 1294 864 1331">7</td> <td data-bbox="864 1294 958 1331">3</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="631 1331 788 1394">Haverhill Area Working Party</td> <td data-bbox="788 1331 864 1394">7</td> <td data-bbox="864 1331 958 1394">3</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="631 1394 788 1425">Joint Staff</td> <td data-bbox="788 1394 864 1425">7</td> <td data-bbox="864 1394 958 1425">3</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> | Working Party or Panel | Mem - bers             | Substi- tutes              | Bury St Edmunds Town Council Working Party | 7 | 3 | Bury St Edmunds Town Centre and Cattle Market Working Party* | 13 | 6 | Central Safety Panel (Employers' Side) | 5 | 2 | Emergency Panel | 7 | 3 | Grant Panel | 7 | 3 | Haverhill Area Working Party | 7 | 3 | Joint Staff | 7 | 3 | Parties and Panels was undertaken from within existing resources. | Parties, Panels etc including its Membership and Terms of Reference. | considered an option. | Steven Lincoln (01284-757108) |
| Working Party or Panel                                       | Mem - bers                         | Substi- tutes |   |                        |                        |                            |  |   |   |  |    |   |  |   |   |                 |   |   |             |   |   |                              |   |   |             |   |   |   |  |                       |                               |
| Bury St Edmunds Town Council Working Party                   | 7                                  | 3             |   |                        |                        |                            |  |   |   |  |    |   |  |   |   |                 |   |   |             |   |   |                              |   |   |             |   |   |   |  |                       |                               |
| Bury St Edmunds Town Centre and Cattle Market Working Party* | 13                                 | 6             |   |                        |                        |                            |  |   |   |  |    |   |  |   |   |                 |   |   |             |   |   |                              |   |   |             |   |   |   |  |                       |                               |
| Central Safety Panel (Employers' Side)                       | 5                                  | 2             |   |                        |                        |                            |  |   |   |  |    |   |  |   |   |                 |   |   |             |   |   |                              |   |   |             |   |   |   |  |                       |                               |
| Emergency Panel  | 7                                  | 3             |   |                        |                        |                            |  |   |   |  |    |   |  |   |   |                 |   |   |             |   |   |                              |   |   |             |   |   |   |  |                       |                               |
| Grant Panel  | 7                                  | 3             |   |                        |                        |                            |  |   |   |  |    |   |  |   |   |                 |   |   |             |   |   |                              |   |   |             |   |   |   |  |                       |                               |
| Haverhill Area Working Party                                 | 7                                  | 3             |   |                        |                        |                            |  |   |   |  |    |   |  |   |   |                 |   |   |             |   |   |                              |   |   |             |   |   |   |  |                       |                               |
| Joint Staff  | 7                                  | 3             |   |                        |                        |                            |  |   |   |  |    |   |  |   |   |                 |   |   |             |   |   |                              |   |   |             |   |   |   |  |                       |                               |

| Item No. | Subject (Forward Plan Ref) | Report No. | Decision  |    |   | Financial Implications | Reason(s) for Decision | Other Option(s) Considered | Contact(s) |
|----------|----------------------------|------------|---|----|---|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|------------|
|          |                            |            | Consultative Panel (Employers' Side)  |    |   |                        |                        |                            |            |
|          |                            |            | Sports and Leisure Development Panel**  | 7  | 3 |                        |                        |                            |            |
|          |                            |            | Sustainable Development Panel   | 13 | 6 |                        |                        |                            |            |
|          |                            |            | Procurement Standards Board   | 7  | 3 |                        |                        |                            |            |
|          |                            |            | Public Service Village Councillor Group   | 5  | - |                        |                        |                            |            |
|          |                            |            | St Edmundsbury Countryside Forum  | 5  | - |                        |                        |                            |            |
|          |                            |            | <p>* Formerly the Cattle Market Redevelopment Working Party (see below)</p> <p>** Formerly the Leisure Development and Olympic and Paralympic 2012 Panel (see below)</p> <p>(2) the Leisure Development and Olympic and Paralympic 2012 Panel be renamed the Sports and Leisure Development Panel;</p> <p>(3) the Cattle Market Redevelopment Working</p> |    |   |                        |                        |                            |            |

| Item No. | Subject (Forward Plan Ref) | Report No. | Decision   | Financial Implications | Reason(s) for Decision | Other Option(s) Considered | Contact(s) |
|----------|----------------------------|------------|--|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|------------|
|          |                            |            | <p>Party be renamed the Bury St Edmunds Town Centre and Cattle Market Working Party and its terms of reference be amended to read:-</p> <p>(a) To maintain an overview of issues relating to the economic prosperity and future development of Bury St Edmunds Town Centre and make recommendations to the Cabinet about actions required to promote the success of the town;</p> <p>(b) To bring forward proposals and recommendations for proceeding with all aspects of the redevelopment of the Cattle Market site in Bury St Edmunds and managing the impact of this scheme; and</p> <p>(c) Without reference to the Cabinet, to appoint steering groups for any issue within the remit of the Working Party and to co-opt non-voting members to these steering groups in order to provide alternative viewpoints and expert advice.</p> <p>(4) the existing terms of reference of each of these panels and working parties</p> |                        |                        |                            |            |

| Item No. | Subject (Forward Plan Ref)  | Report No. | Decision  | Financial Implications  | Reason(s) for Decision   | Other Option(s) Considered  | Contact(s)  |
|----------|---|------------|---|---|--|---|---|
|          |   |            | be presented to their first meetings to assist in the induction of the new council and to allow the opportunity for review.   |   |  |   |   |
| 16       | Incubation Centre, Suffolk Business Park, Bury St Edmunds (Jun07/12 and Jun07/13) | Y16        | <p>(1) The Corporate Director for Resources, in consultation with the relevant Portfolio Holder be authorised to select the preferred development partner for the provision of an Incubation Centre at Moreton Hall, Bury St Edmunds from the final shortlist of two as detailed in section 4 of Report Y16; and</p> <p>(2) subject to the approval of full Council:- the access road and associated infrastructure serving site E2 be constructed by the Borough Council at a cost of approximately £200,000 as set out in section 4 of Report Y16 and funded from a virement of £105,000 from the remaining allocation for infrastructure works at Tassel Road, Bury St Edmunds and £95,000 from the Local Authority Business Incentive Scheme grant.</p> | <p>There is an opportunity cost lost by the Borough Council for promoting the use of the site for an incubation centre rather than a simple land disposal. This 'lost value' is returned in the provision, at no additional cost to the Council, of a high quality centre for new business enterprises. There is the cost of providing the access road. This is estimated to be appropriately £200,000, but this investment will enable the Council to secure a higher level of return on the disposal of adjoining sites. The £200,000</p> | <p>The Economic Development Strategy identified a need to encourage the creation of new business enterprises. To assist this task the Council had already allocated part of the site E2 at Suffolk Business Park, Moreton Hall, Bury St Edmunds, for the development of managed workspaces and an Incubation Centre.</p> | <p>The provision of an Incubation Centre had already been approved but the delivery of this facility by a development partner was considered.</p> | <p>Nigel Aitkens (01284-735258)</p> <p>Andrea Mayley (01284-757343)</p> |

| Item No. | Subject (Forward Plan Ref)                                 | Report No. | Decision   | Financial Implications  | Reason(s) for Decision  | Other Option(s) Considered  | Contact(s)  |
|----------|--|------------|--|---|---|---|---|
|          |  |            |  | cost can be met from £105,000 underspend on the infrastructure allocation for works at Tassel Road, Bury St Edmunds and £95,000 from the Government funded Local Authority Business Incentive Scheme allocation. There would also be income that the Borough Council will receive from the Incubation Centre developer. |   |   |   |
| 17       | Local Authority Business Grant Incentive Scheme (Jun07/10) | Y17        | That, subject to the approval of full Council, the investment proposals for Local Authority Business Grant in 2007/2008 and 2008/2009, detailed in paragraph 4.4 of Report Y17, be approved. | The Council had received £530,000 Government grant and the report detailed the allocation of £481,500, which leaves an unallocated balance of £48,500.  | The Government had established a reward scheme for local authorities to reflect economic growth in their area and the Council had ring-fenced this money for economic development. The purpose for this report was to identify areas for investment that would have an impact on economic prosperity of St Edmundsbury. | There was a range of options including the transfer of this grant to the General Fund and aligning all the funds to the countywide Local Area Agreement priorities. | Nigel Aitkens (01284-735258)<br>Jerry Massey (01284-757200) |
| 18       | Haverhill Area Working Party: Minutes 26 March             | Y18        | <b>(1) Haverhill Town Centre Design Framework: Follow up</b>   | The cost of the consultation on the draft Design  | It was considered appropriate to consult on the draft Design  | Options were considered in detail by the Haverhill Area Working Party.  | Nigel Aitkens (01284-735258)                                |

| Item No. | Subject (Forward Plan Ref) | Report No. | Decision   | Financial Implications   | Reason(s) for Decision  | Other Option(s) Considered   | Contact(s)  |
|----------|----------------------------|------------|--|--|---|--|---|
|          | 2007 (Jun07/03)            |            | <p><b>Actions</b></p> <p>(1) the Draft Design Framework as detailed in Report X373, as amended by the inclusion of actions identified in Appendix 1 to Report X587, be approved for consultation purposes; and</p> <p>(2) the Action Plan attached as Appendix 1 to Report X587 be approved.</p> <p><b>(2) Provision of a Shopmobility Scheme for Haverhill</b></p> <p>(1) the establishment of a Haverhill Shopmobility Scheme operating from the Council's offices at Lower Downs Slade on or after 1 July 2007 and in accordance with the principles set out in Report X591 be approved;</p> <p>(2) the Corporate Director for Community be authorised, in consultation with the Chairman of the Haverhill Area Working Party, to enter into any necessary management agreements with the voluntary sector in respect of the operation of the scheme;</p> <p>(3) the set up and operating costs for</p> | <p>Framework will be met from within existing budgets.</p> <p>The set up and operating costs are estimated at:-</p> <p>(1) capital costs £30,000;</p> <p>(2) revenue costs (set up) £1,500; and</p> <p>(3) revenue costs annual (full year) £8,200.</p> <p>The capital costs will be met from the Haverhill Capital Reserve and the operating costs from the Haverhill Revenue Reserve, less a contribution from Haverhill Town Council.</p> | <p>Framework with key stakeholder organisations and groups in Haverhill in order to consider and incorporate feedback into the final document.</p> <p>Arising from previous discussions by the Working Party a viability group, the Haverhill Shopmobility Partnership Group, was established to examine the feasibility of the establishment of a Shopmobility Scheme for Haverhill.</p> | <p>Options were considered in detail by the Haverhill Shopmobility Partnership Group and the Haverhill Area Working Party.</p> | <p>Mike Dawson<br/>(01284-757064)</p> <p>Nigel Aitkens<br/>(01284-735258)</p> <p>Mike Dawson<br/>(01284-757064)</p> |

| Item No. | Subject (Forward Plan Ref) | Report No. | Decision  | Financial Implications   | Reason(s) for Decision   | Other Option(s) Considered  | Contact(s)  |
|----------|----------------------------|------------|---|--|--|---|---|
|          |                            |            | <p>2007/2008 of £7,700 be met from the Haverhill Revenue Reserve and provision be made in the revenue budgets for annual operating costs for future years; and</p> <p>(4) subject to approval of Full Council, the set up capital costs of £30,000 be met from the Haverhill Capital Reserve.</p> <p><b>(3) Haverhill Cinema/Restaurant Development: Project Status Report March 2007</b><br/> <i>This recommendation was considered and resolved by full Council on 3 April 2007, and therefore did not need consideration at this meeting of the Cabinet.</i></p> <p><b>(4) Haverhill Promotional Websites</b><br/> (1) the development of the CB9haverhill and HE2000 websites as indicated in Report X593 be approved;<br/> (2) the Corporate Director for Community, in consultation with the Chairman of the Area Working Party, be</p> | <p>The final costs are yet to be identified but will be met from the Haverhill Area Revenue Reserve.</p> | <p>There was a need to co-ordinate and develop in partnership the two websites, CB9Haverhill and HE2000.</p> | <p>Options were considered in detail by the Haverhill Area Working Party.</p> | <p>Nigel Aitkens<br/>(01284-735258)</p> <p>Mike Dawson<br/>(01284-757064)</p> |

| Item No. | Subject (Forward Plan Ref)  | Report No. | Decision   | Financial Implications   | Reason(s) for Decision   | Other Option(s) Considered   | Contact(s)  |
|----------|---|------------|--|--|--|--|---|
|          |   |            | authorised to enter into 3 year funding and service agreements with the Design Office and HE2000 in respect of the respective website maintenance;<br>(3) the cost of development and maintenance of both websites be met from the Haverhill Area Revenue Reserve; and<br>(4) officers continue discussions with HE2000 in respect of the production and funding of a promotional brochure for Haverhill.            |  |  |  |   |
| 19       | Cattle Market Redevelopment Working Party: 28 March 2007 (Jun07/01) | Y19        | <p><b>(1) Public Building (Venue) Design Update</b><br/>(1) the Venue Steering Group be reconstituted and non Councillors to be co-opted if considered appropriate; and<br/>(2) a revised terms of reference for the Venue Steering Group be presented to the next meeting of the Working Party.</p> <p><b>(2) Impact Management Update</b><br/>(1) the current status of the Cattle Market redevelopment Impact</p> | <p>There are no direct financial implications from reconstituting the Venue Steering Group and revising its Terms of Reference.</p> <p>There are no direct financial implications at present from monitoring these</p> | <p>It was considered appropriate that a small group examine in detail issues associated with the public building on the Cattle Market site in Bury St Edmunds.</p> <p>It was considered appropriate to continually monitor the provision of public toilets in Bury St Edmunds.</p> | <p>Not to reconstitute the Venue Steering Group was not considered an option.</p> <p>Options were considered by the Impact Management Group and the Cattle Market Redevelopment Working Party.</p> | <p>John Griffiths (01284-757136)</p> <p>Jerry Massey (01284-757200)</p> <p>John Griffiths (01284-757136)</p> <p>Jerry Massey (01284-757200)</p> |

| Item No. | Subject (Forward Plan Ref)   | Report No. | Decision  | Financial Implications   | Reason(s) for Decision   | Other Option(s) Considered  | Contact(s)  |
|----------|--|------------|---|--|--|---|---|
|          |  |            | <p>Management Strategy as detailed in Report X597 be noted; and<br/> (2) a further detailed examination as to the viability and implications for the provision of public toilets in Bury St Edmunds be undertaken when appropriate.</p> <p><b>(3) Branding of Cattle Market Development</b><br/> (1) Centros Miller be informed that the Working Party did not support the name of the development being called Graze;<br/> (2) Centros Miller be informed that it would prove beneficial for local involvement in naming the streets within the development; and<br/> (3) a regular information bulletin be emailed to Members and Substitutes of the Working Party.</p> | <p>issues.</p> <p>There are no direct financial implications arising from these decisions.</p> | <p>It was considered appropriate that Centros Miller be informed that the Borough Council and other organisations be involved in naming the streets within the development. It was also considered advisable that a regular information bulletin be emailed to members and substitutes of the Working Party.</p> | <p>Options were considered in details by the Cattle Market Redevelopment Working Party.</p> | <p>John Griffiths<br/> (01284-757136)</p> <p>Jerry Massey<br/> (01284-757200)</p>     |
| 20       | Report of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee: Evening Economy Review Phase 1 Action Plan Update | Y20        | That the Licensing and Regulatory Committee be given delegated authority to recommend to full Council any amendments necessary to the Licensing Statement of Policy arising as a result of the comparison of data collected before and after  | Compiling and comparing the data will be undertaken within existing resources.                 | The Overview and Scrutiny Committee was charged to reviewing the progress achieved in respect of the Evening Economy Phase 1 Action Plan.  | Options were considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.                             | <p>Nigel Aitkens<br/> (01284-735258)</p> <p>Adriana Stapleton<br/> (01284-757613)</p> |

| Item No. | Subject (Forward Plan Ref)                                | Report No. | Decision   | Financial Implications   | Reason(s) for Decision   | Other Option(s) Considered  | Contact(s)  |
|----------|---|------------|--|--|--|---|---|
|          |   |            | the coming into force of the Licensing Act 2003 and the agreed actions undertaken during Phase One of the Evening Economy Review.  |  |  |   |   |
| 21       | Implementation Plan for Smoke Free Legislation (Jun07/14) | Y21        | (1) the outline implementation plan for the Smokefree (Premises & Enforcement) Regulations 2006 as set out in Section 4 of Report Y21 be approved; and (2) the other actions set out in Report Y21 to meet the Council's statutory obligations be noted. | The Department of Health had provided all local authorities with funding to implement the introduction of this legislation. St Edmundsbury will receive £49,238 in total for the period 2006/2008, which is ring-fenced for the Implementation Plan. | Legislation to prevent smoking in public places and work places will come into force on 1 July 2007 and local authorities are responsible for its enforcement. | The Council has a statutory duty to enforce the legislation and the actions identified have been included in the implementation Plan. | Sara Mildmay-White (01359-270580)<br><br>Julie Lynch (01284-757048) |

Joy Bowes, Head of Legal and Democratic Services  
25 May 2007